

## Staddon, Rebecca

---

**From:** Nick Gibbons [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 09 January 2019 22:41  
**To:** tim.salter@pins.gsi.gov.uk  
**Cc:** Plan admin  
**Subject:** APP/D3315/W/18/3205705 Gladman Langaller Lane Creech St Michael TA

**Categories:** Appeals

Dear Mr Salter

I wish to object to the latest submissions by the appellant regarding traffic and footways. As a member of the public, living along St Michael Road in Creech St Michael I felt compelled to attend a residents meeting this evening regarding the Gladman planning appeal, to be heard Tuesday next week. Without attending this meeting, I would not have known about Gladman's attempts to satisfy the traffic and access issues. Also, that these have been submitted by the appellant so late as to not afford villagers or TDBC enough time to consider these lengthy documents. This strikes me as a coldly calculated method to gain apparent advantage.

We have been advised that we really only have until 11<sup>th</sup> January to voice any complaints about these latest submissions, so for what it is worth I wish to object to the pedestrian and traffic plan from North End to the centre of the village. These make radical changes to the road, footpaths and hedges and grass verges through the centre of the village, and put in their place large tables, wider footpaths, virtual footways and turning areas. This will look truly appalling, turning the main road through Creech into a garish urban landscape with no grass/hedge relief. These are not improvements in either amenity or in safety. These raised tables would not make the traffic any slower than it is currently over our existing mountainous speedbumps, so would not reduce the volume of traffic by discouraging rat run traffic. I am amazed that Gladman have supplied traffic figures much lower than have been counted by TDBC, less than half I was informed, also their approximated extra traffic from the development is woefully underestimated. An extra 200 houses surely would provide at least 300 extra car movements at both ends of the day. This does not even take into consideration the extra traffic from further Monkton Heathfield development, or the extra houses that will inevitably be squeezed in on the Langaller road development should the Gladman appeal succeed. We cannot escape the fact that the road through Creech St Michael provides the quickest route to the A358 and the M5 for many people. Please, we cannot accept Gladman's traffic proposals. Any traffic calming measures must be carefully considered by the experts, namely the roads planning dept of the local council, and take into account the needs of villagers, whilst not encouraging extra use as a rat run, any decision in this regard should not be based on the wants of a greedy developer to the detriment of the village. I note also the plan to provide a wide tarmac floodlit path between North End and Hopkins field footpath. This brightly lit path would heavily impact on the residents of bungalows in West View, and if backed onto by the back gardens of surrounding dwellings, would provide handy escape routes from the back gardens for the not so desirable elements in society. Also if not overlooked this path would not feel safe at night.

Kind regards,

Nick Gibbons  
Fourwinds  
Saint Michael Road  
Creech St Michael  
Taunton  
TA35DP



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)