



With reference to the Planning Application submitted by Gladmans who are telling us our village needs extra houses on land which has never been included in the TDBC plans for building in Creech Parish.

Our village does not need any more houses

We already see wildlife confused because their habitat is slowly being destroyed, particularly the deer who regularly run across the road leading out of the village this is an accident waiting to happen

Creech wants to keep its village

identity and community spirit and not be part of an urban sprawl.

Already the school and the doctors surgery are unable to cope with increasing numbers.

If plans are passed for 200 extra houses on this land we want to keep as a green belt between our village and the M5 and Taunton, there is no guarantee that 200 houses will snowball to 400. With an average of three vehicles per household there could be up to one thousand extra cars in the village.

Our roads are far too narrow for the number of vehicles we already experience passing through Creech. The pavements are too narrow and in places it is not possible to walk

5

two abreast. With the trend towards larger vehicles many have wing mirrors that actually overlap the pavement's this also applies to commercial vehicles. I personally have recently experienced a near miss when a load on a pick up truck narrowly missed my head whilst walking between the Baptist Church and Canal Bridge.

Can the Canal Bridge cope with extra traffic without suffering structural damage?

The road through the village is used as a 'Rat Run' and it can easily take 10 minutes to cross the road on foot or to join the road by car. From our own drives speed limits and general courtesy and consideration to other

road users seems to be ignored.  
 Being on the Somerset Levels  
 Creech St Michael is prone to  
 Flooding. IF the proposed site is  
 to be covered in concrete water is  
 not going to soak away. The stream  
 at North End already a cause for  
 concern is not going to cope with  
 the run off of water, and this is  
 the only exit out of the village  
 when Ham and Ruishton are in  
 Flood.

We need land to provide extra  
 recreation facilities. I use North  
 Curry as an example.

Does the concern and the  
 quality of life for our village  
 residents no longer matter?

From MRS MARGARET PAVEY